

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

D STATES IN AME		www.uspto.gov		
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	10/20/2000	Takao Mukai	36856.366	7526
09/692,668 10/20/2000	10/20/2000			
7590 06/26/2002			EXAMINER	
Keating & Be	lace, Suite 312		GONZALEZ, JULIO C	
Fairfax, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	_
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/200	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(e)				
	09/692,668	MUKAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julio C. Gonzalez	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) N	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 /	<u> April 2002</u> .					
·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,7,9,10,13,14,16 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,7,9,10,13,14,16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
Application Papers	or.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application h stic priority under 35 U.	as been received. .S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/692,668

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 10, the piezoelectric substrate is disclosed to have a step form and extending from one of the pair of the edges to an inner edge of the step which is spaced from the one. Which one is the "one"? The step or the edge? How the substrate edge contacts the main region if it is separated by a groove? What is extending from the upper surface of the substrate towards a bottom surface of the substrate inside a substrate edge?

In claim 5, one step has a pair of steps, are there three steps in total? Two? Four?

Also, claims 9, 16 and 18 are dependant on canceled claims. Correction is required.

In order to advance prosecution in the merits, the Prior Art will be applied as best understood by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,668

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 10, 13, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ago et al in view of Horiuchi et al.

Ago et al discloses a surface acoustic wave device comprising a piezoelectric substrate 5 having a pair of edges, an interdigital transducer 4 provided on main region wherein a distance L is between at least one inner edge and corresponding one of the substrate edges is about $\lambda/10$ to about 8λ (see figures 2, 4, 5). Also, the step depth is in the range of about 2λ to about 6λ (column 5, lines 47-49). Moreover the IDT has a plurality of electrode fingers (see figure 2 and column 2, lines 7-11).

However, Ago et al does not disclose having two IDT's...

On the other hand, Horiuchi et al discloses for the purpose of preventing chipping on a substrate thus improving reflection, a piezoelectric substrate with two IDT's 3, 4 (see figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/692,668 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a surface acoustic wave as disclosed by Ago et al and to modify the invention by having two IDT's for the purpose of preventing chipping on a substrate thus improving reflection as disclosed by Horiuchi et al.

5. Claim 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ago et al and Horiuchi et al as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Kadota et al.

The combined surface acoustic wave discloses all of the elements above. However the combined surface acoustic does not disclose that the fingers have different wavelengths.

On the other hand, Kadota et al discloses for the purpose of reducing the manufacturing cost of surface wave resonators, outermost electrode fingers with a wavelength of about $\lambda/8$ and the other electrode fingers of about $\lambda/4$ (column 3, lines 42-48).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined surface acoustic wave as disclosed above and to modify the invention by using different wavelengths for the electrode

Art Unit: 2834

fingers for the purpose of reducing the manufacturing cost of surface wave resonators as disclosed by Kadota et al.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16 and 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 305-1563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,668

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TRAN NGUYEN RIMARY EXAMINI

ceting SPE

Jcg

June 20, 2002